PASI 2010 in Dynamics and Control of Manned and Unmanned Marine Vehicles

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft

1400-1545, June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Armin Troesch
Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering
University of Michigan

OUTLINE

- Planing Hull Hydrodynamics and Dynamics

    Selected experiments and theories
    with practical applications

- Nonlinear Dynamics Analysis Applied to Planing Hulls

- Stochastic Vibro-Impact Model for Extreme Planing
  Craft Acceleration Estimation

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

With a little help from friends:

Recently Active UM Graduates:

Dr. Lixin Xu

Dr. Carolyn Frank Judge

Wayne Arguin (USCG)

Timothy Conners (USCG)

Dr. Richard Royce

Tony Daniels

Dr. Kevin Maki

Dr. Brant Savander

Oscar Tuscan (Dr. to-be)

Fredy Zarate

And many more…….

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Planing and Impact Research at UM

Kang, K.G.   (1988)   Non-linear Impact Hydrodynamics. Ph.D. Thesis.

Talmor, A.   (1991)   Non-linear Slender-Body Approach for Predicting Planing Performance, Ph.D. Thesis.

Hicks, J.D.   (1993)   Analysis Method for Planing Hull Vertical Motions. Professional Degree Thesis.

Lai, C.   (1994)   Hydrodynamic and Dynamic Analysis of High Speed Planing, Ph.D. Thesis.

Akers, R.H.   (1995)   Planing Hull Design Environment. Professional Degree Thesis.

Wang, M.   (1995)   A Study on Non-linear Free Surface Flows. Ph.D. Thesis.

Savander, B.R.   (1997) Planing Hull Steady Hydrodynamics. Ph.D. Thesis.

Xu, L.   (1998)   A Theory for Asymmetric Vessel Impact and Steady Planing. Ph.D. Thesis.

Judge, C.F. (2000)   Impact of Wedges with Horizontal and Vertical Velocities. Ph.D. Thesis.

Arguin, W.   (2001)   Simulation of Planing Hull Dynamics in the Transverse Plane. MSE Thesis.

Conners, T.   (2001)   Coupled Surge, Sway, Roll, and Yaw Hydrodynamic Coefficients for High Speed Planing Craft.
         MSE Thesis.

Royce, R.A.   (2001)   Impact Theory Extended to Planing Craft with Experimental Comparisons. Ph.D. Thesis.

Daniels, A.S.   (2002)   Design and Construction of the Stepped Planing Hull Dynamometer. Professional Degree Thesis.

Maki, K. (2005) Transom Stern Hydrodynamics, Ph.D. Thesis.

Tascon, O. (2010) Numerical Computation of the Hydrodynamic Forces Acting on a Maneuvering Planing Hull via Slender Body
         Theory - SBT and 2-D CFD Impact Theory. Ph.D. Thesis

Rose, C. J. (2010) Marine Systems Application of Extreme Value Prediction of a Vibro-Impact System Subject to Stochastic
         Excitation, MSE Thesis

Zarate, F. (2010) CFD Modeling of Yawed and Heeled High Speed Planing Hulls, MSE Thesis

Selected University of Michigan References

Troesch, A.W. and Kang, C.-G. (1986) "Hydrodynamic Impact Loads on Three Dimensional Bodies," Proceedings of the 16th Symposium on Naval Hydrodynamics, Berkeley, July.

Troesch, A. and Falzarano, J. (1993) "Modern Nonlinear Dynamical Analysis of Vertical Plane Motion of Planing Hulls," Journal of Ship Research, September, pp. 189-199.

Troesch, A. and Hicks, J.D. (1994) "The Efficient Use of Simulation in Planing Hull Motion Analysis," Naval Engineers Journal, January, pp. 75-85.

Lai, Canhai and Troesch, A.W. (1995) "Modelling Issues Related to the Hydrodynamics of Three Dimensional Steady Planing," Journal of Ship Research, Vol. 39, No. 1 March.

Hicks, J.D., Troesch, A.W. and Jiang, C. (1995) "Simulation and Nonlinear Dynamics of Planing Hulls," Journal of Offshore Mechanics and Arctic Engineering, Vol. 117, No. 1, February.

Vorus, W.S. (1996) A flat cylinder impact theory for analysis of vessel impact loading and steady planing resistance. Journal of Ship Research, Vol. 40, No. 2, pp. 89-106.

Kim, D. J., Vorus, W. S., Troesch, A.W., and Gollwitzer, R. M. (1996) "Coupled Hydrodynamic Impact and Elastic Response," Proceedings of the 21th Symposium on Naval Hydrodynamics, Norway, June.

Lai, Canhai and Troesch, A.W. (1996) "A Vortex Lattice Method for High Speed Planing," International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids, Vol. 22,, pp. 495-513.

Xu, L., Troesch, A. W., and Vorus, W. S. (1998) "Asymmetric Vessel Impact and Planing Hydrodynamics," Journal of Ship Research, Vol.42, 5. September.

Akers, R.H., Hoeckley, S. A. Peterson, R. S., and Troesch, A. W. (1999) "Predicted vs Measured Vertical-Plane Dynamics of a Planing Boat, " Proceedings of FAST'99, Seattle, WA. Sept..

Savander, B.R., Scorpio, S.M., and Taylor, R.K. (2002) "Steady Hydrodynamic Analysis of Planing Surfaces. " Journal of Ship Research, Vol. 46, No. 4.

Judge, C., Troesch, A. W., and Perlin, M. (2003) "Initial Water Impact of a Wedge at Vertical and Oblique Angles." Invited contribution for a Special Issue on Water Impact in the Journal of Engineering Mathematics.

Maki, K. J., Troesch, A. W. and Beck, R. F. "Experiments of Two-Dimensional Transom Stern Flow," Journal of Ship Research, Vol. 52, No.4, Dec. 2008, pp. 291-300.

Hamza, Karim, Troesch A.W., Saitou, K., "Design of an Instrument Shock Isolator for a High Speed Marine Vehicle via a Multi-Objective Genetic Algorithm, " IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence (Special Session on Evolutionary Computation for Expensive Optimization Problems), July 16-21, 2006, Vancouver, BC, Canada

Savander, B. and Troesch, A., "Mission Configurable Modular Craft Concept Study", Proceedings of 1st International Conference on Ship Design and Naval Engineering, March 2009, Cartagena de Indias, Colombia.

Tascon, O., Troesch, A., Maki, K., "Numerical Computation of the Hydrodynamics Forces Acting on a Maneuvering Planing Hull via Slender Body Theory – SBT and 2-D Impact Theory," 10th International Conference on Fast Sea Transportation, FAST 2009, Athens, Greece, October, 2009

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Planing Craft Resistance and Dynamics

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Planing Craft Resistance and Dynamics

Steps

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Design & Analysis Process Overview

- Steady (?) Hydrodynamic Analysis
    - Defines global geometry
    - Defines weight distribution
   - Defines operating parameters

- Seakeeping/ Maneuvering Analysis
    - Defines structural loading
    - Defines operability boundaries

- Structures
    - Quasi-Static & Transient
    - Primary, Secondary, Tertiary
    - Fatigue Life (Endurance Limit)
    - Fracture Mechanics (Module Dis-assembly)

Figure 1.2 Levels of structural analysis.

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Underwater Photograph of a Planing Hull

David Taylor Model Basin: Model 4434

Pressure Area
(solid water)

Spray Area

Saunders, H.E.   Hydrodynamics in Ship Design   . Vol. 1. The Society of Naval
       Architects and Marine Engi   neers, New York, 1957.

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Physics of Planing - Some Definitions

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Physics of Planing - Some definitions and idealizations

Slender, low aspect ratio, prismatic hulls:
## Wagner (1931, 1932), von Karman (1929)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Physics of Planing - Some definitions and idealizations

High aspect ratio, flat hulls:
Green (1936)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Physics of Planing - Some definitions and idealizations

Slender, low aspect ratio, flat hulls:
Tulin (1957)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Physics of Planing - Force and moment balance

Ref: Experiments of prismatic planing hulls, Savitsky (1964)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

# Relationship between planing and impact hydrodynamics

- Low order approximation

- Coordinate transformation between time and longitudinal coordinate
  $X = U t$

- Transverse plane is equivalent to 2-D impact or strip theory approximation of planing

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Seminal Work in Impact Hydrodynamics, Wagner (1932) Model:

Abb. 20.

Abb. 21.

Abb. 22.

Abb. 23.

Abb. 9.

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Reduction of physical model to 2-D impact solution space

y

Hull Cross Section Geometry

$Z_{ch}(x)$

$h(x, z)$ $h_o(x, z)$

$\beta(x, z)$ $y_{wl}(x)$

z

y

Flow Physics

$z_c(x)$

$C_p(z)$

v_{jet}

$v_n(z)$

$v_s(z)$

$v_s(z)$

$y_{wl}(x)$

$z_b(x)$

$V(x)$

z

Approximation

y

$v_{n, body} = v_{n, fluid}$ $C_p(z) = 0$

$v(z)$

$\gamma(z) = 2w(z) \neq 0$ $\gamma = w = 0$

z

$v = \dfrac{\partial \phi}{\partial y}$

$w = \dfrac{\partial \phi}{\partial z}$

y U

z x

Earth Fixed y-z Plane

Ut

Chines Dry

chine

$V(t)$

Chines Wet

$V(t)$

Planing surface passing through earth-fixed plane

Slender Body Theory Planing Model, Savander, et al. (2002)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

The Boundary Value Problem

- *Nonlinear dynamic free surface boundary condition*

$$\left(V_S - z_{c\tau}\zeta\right)\frac{\partial V_S}{\partial \zeta} + z_c \frac{dV_S}{d\tau} = 0 \quad 1 \le \zeta \le b(\tau)$$

- *Body kinematic boundary condition*

$$\gamma_c(\zeta,\tau) = -\frac{2\cos\overline{\beta}(\zeta,\tau)\zeta\kappa(\zeta,\tau)}{\sqrt{1-\zeta^2}}\left[V(\tau) + \frac{1}{\pi}\int_{s=1}^{b(\tau)}\frac{\gamma_S(s,\tau)ds}{\kappa(s,\tau)\sqrt{\left(s^2-1\right)}} + \right.$$

$$\left. +\frac{\zeta^2-1}{\pi}\int_{s=1}^{b(\tau)}\frac{\gamma_S(s,\tau)ds}{\kappa(s,\tau)\sqrt{\left(s^2-1\right)\left(s^2-\zeta^2\right)}}\right] \quad 0 \le z \le z_c(\tau)$$

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

The Boundary Value Problem, con't.

- *Velocity continuity condition (i.e. Kutta condition)*

$$V(\tau) + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{s=1}^{b} \frac{\gamma_s(s,\tau)ds}{\kappa(s,\tau)\sqrt{\left(s^2 - 1\right)}} = 0 \text{ at } \quad z = zc(z = 1)$$

- *Displacement continuity condition*

$$Y_{wl}(\tau) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{s=0}^{1} \frac{\cos\overline{\beta}(s,\tau)h_c(s,\tau)ds}{\kappa(s,\tau)\sqrt{1-s^2}}$$

Total Pressure Coefficient

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

3-D Pressure Distribution and Spray Sheet

Brown&Martin   Vorus&Lai   Usaero   z=0

Spray Root

Keel

C_L (Savitsky)	0.091
C_L (USAERO)	0.053
θ_0 (z=0)	23.29^o
θ (USAERO)	29.17^o
θ (Vorus&Lai)	32.88^o
θ (Brown&Martin)	39.47^o

$\lambda = 0.913, \; \tau = 9^o, \; \beta = 20^o$

Variable deadrise 40 mph ski boat

Calculations based on 3-D boundary integral method (Savander, 1997)

ZWAVE
0.04

-0.20

PLANING HULL L/B = 0.913 Fn=12 with Transom by USAERO/FSP

Mar 2 10:18:36 1995

SOLN= 91

USAERO C4/OMNI3D

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

# FLUENT 6.1 (CFD)   Analysis: Straight Line Running

**Transverse Flow @ Transom:**

50 mph

Engine Trim ¼ Out

Straight Line

Starboard Transom of Bass Boat

β = 12 deg

Bass Boat
Down Angle Lifting Strake Included

FLUENT 6.0
2d, Segregated, VOF
Lam, Unsteady

Time = 0.150 sec
U = 50 mph
τ = 1.74 deg
x = 11.0 ft

y (inches)

x (inches)

Section Bottom Pressure Comparison

Ideal Flow Solution

FLUENT

Pressure (psI)

x (inches)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Conclusions of planing modeling analysis

(the more significant ones?)

- Modeling the jet separation point (line) is critical to accurate planing predictions.

- Prediction of the correct wetted surface is critical to accurate planing predictions.

- There may be significant non-slender effects for large θ.

- The reduction to the z=0 plane works well in the chines dry regime but less so in the chines wet regime.

- Both slender body theory and 3-D Models have the potential to predict steady lift and drag accurately enough for design purposes.

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Validation and Application of Technology

Extension of 2-D Planing Theory to Innovative Hull Form Design

(a) High pressure region in chines dry impact

(b) Low pressure region in chines wet impact

high pressure

low pressure

Calculated variation of deadrise to achieve maximum lift to drag ratio

Plan View

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Validation and Application of Technology

SOLAR SPLASH: Intercollegiate Solar/Electric Boat Regatta

featuring

The University of Michigan's Inverted "V" Planing Hull

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

OUTLINE

- Planing Hull Hydrodynamics and Dynamics

    Selected experiments and theories
    with practical applications

- Nonlinear Dynamics Analysis Applied to Planing Hulls

- Stochastic Vibro-Impact Model for Extreme Planing Craft Acceleration Estimation

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

RATIONALE FOR DYNAMIC ANALYSIS:

Identification of design parameters that are critical to performance.

Example: "A Case Study of Dynamic Instability in a Planing Hull", Codega and Lewis. *Marine Tech.,* April, 1987

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Problem Definition : Steady Symmetric Planing

attached

chines dry

pressure distribution

separated

chines wet

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Problem Definition : Steady Asymmetric Planing - Type "A"

attached

chines dry

separated

chines dry/wet

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Problem Definition : Steady Asymmetric Planing - Type "B"

keel separation/attached   keel separation/chines dry

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Transition to Steady Asymmetric Planing - Type "B"

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Steady Transverse Planing Modeling: Asymmetric Impact Theory

$$U(t) \equiv V_{2D}(x)$$

$$W(t) \equiv W_{2D}(x)$$

Type A model of cylinder asymmetric impact (small asymmetry) and horizontal impact velocity

Type B model of cylinder asymmetric impact (large asymmetry) and horizontal impact velocity

Critical angle $\beta 2$ versus the corresponding $\beta 1$ at which ventilation occurs off the keel for different ratios of impact velocities

(Judge et al, 1999
Xu et al, 1998, 1999)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Steady Transition to asymmetric planing - Type "B"

35 deg deadrise heeled 20 deg

35 deg deadrise heeled 29 deg

V/U = 0.52

Jet Root

Keel

Jet Root

V/U = 1.30

Jet Root

Keel

Jet Root

V/U = 2.08

Jet Root

Keel

Jet Root

Onset of Separation Due to Transverse Velocity

Direction of V

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Steady Asymmetric planing due to horizontal velocity

W

V

V_j

β_j

β_1

Estimate of the horizontal velocity required for separation:

$$\frac{V}{W} \cong \frac{V_j/W \sin\beta_j - \tan\beta_1 \cos\beta_j}{\tan\beta_1}$$

β_1	V/W
20°	4.2-4.5
35°	2.0-2.5

Ref: Xu, Troesch, 1999 and Judge, et al. 2002

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

The Synergy of Experiments and Theory

Experiments with prismatic hull forms
- Steady planing
- Unsteady planing - vertical plane motions

For example: Savitsky (1964), Altman (1968), Fridsma (1969, 1971), De Zwaan (1976), Savitsky & Brown (1976), Troesch (1992), and others…….

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

**Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft**
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

On the Hydrodynamics of Vertically Oscillating Planing Hulls

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Equations of Motion

$$Z = m \ddot{\eta}_3(t)$$

$$M = I_{55} \ddot{\eta}_5(t)$$

May be **Modeled** as

$$\left[m + \mathbf{A}(\eta;\omega) \right]\{\ddot{\eta}(t)\} + \left[\mathbf{B}(\eta;\omega) \right]\{\dot{\eta}(t)\} + \left[\mathbf{C}(\eta) \right]\{\eta(t)\} = \{F(t)\}$$

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Geometry: Dynamic wetted length

$$L(t) = lcg + \frac{vcg}{\tan(\tau - \eta_5(t))} - \frac{(z_{wl} + \eta_3(t))}{\sin(\tau - \eta_5(t))}$$

$$\lambda_{ave} = (\lambda_k + \lambda_c)/2 + 0.03$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_k = \hat{\lambda}_{ave} + 0.5(0.57 + 0.001\beta)(\tan\beta/(2\tan(\tau - \eta_5)) - 0.006\beta) - 0.03$$

$$\hat{\lambda}_c = \hat{\lambda}_k - (0.57 + 0.001\beta)(\tan\beta/(2\tan(\tau - \eta_5)) - 0.006\beta) \quad for \quad \hat{\lambda}_c \geq 1$$

Steady mean wetted length from Savitsky (1964)and Savitsky &
Brown (1976) modified for motions (Troesch 1992)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Geometry: Dynamic wetted length in heave

Wetted keel

Wetted chine

Top of stroke

Wetted keel

Bottom of stroke

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Geometry: Dynamic wetted length in heave

Top of stroke

Bottom of stroke

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Geometry: Dynamic wetted length

Forced static heave

Forced static pitch

Wetted length versus constant heave and pitch displacement. -■-, λ_{ave}; - - - , Eq. (5); -●-, λ_k; —, Eq. (6); -✕-, λ_c ; - - -, Eq. (7).

Geometry Dynamic wetted length

## Forced heave

Forced pitch


Wetted length during one cycle of heave and pitch oscillation.
$\xi_3/B = 0.036$, $\omega\sqrt{B/g} = 1.13$. $+$, λ_c; $- - -$, Eq. (4); $\bullet$, λ_k; —, Eq. (4).

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Geometry: Dynamic wetted length

Forced heave

Wetted maximum and minimum chine and keel lengths as a function of heave amplitude. -●- , λ_{max}; —, Eq. (4); -X-, λ_{min}; - - -, Eq. (4); -■-, λ_{ave}

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Geometry: Dynamic wetted length

Forced pitch

Wetted maximum and minimum chine and keel lengths as a function of pitch amplitude. $-\bullet-$, λ_{max}; —, Eq. (4); $-\times-$, λ_{min}; - - -, Eq. (4); $-\blacksquare-$, λ_{ave}.

Hydrodynamic stiffness, C_{55} and C_{33}

Forced pitch

Forced heave

: Total vertical force and moment versus constant linear heave and pitch displacement. $L/B = 3.0$, $\tau = 4.0$ deg. $C_V = 1.5$; $-\blacklozenge-$, exp; $-$; Savitsky [14]. $C_V = 2.5$; $-\blacktriangle-$, exp; $- - -$, Savitsky [14].

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Hydrodynamic stiffness, C_{35} and C_{53}

Forced pitch

Forced heave

: Total vertical force and moment versus constant linear heave and pitch displacement. L/B = 3.0 , τ = 4.0 deg. C_V = 1.5; -♦-, exp; —; Savitsky [14]. C_V = 2.5; -▲-, exp; - - -, Savitsky [14].

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Added mass and damping, A_{33} and B_{33}

$$-\omega^2\left(m + A_{33}\right) + i\omega B_{33} + C_{33} = \left(f_1 + f_2\right)\big/\zeta_3 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \sum F = m\ddot{\xi}_3$$

$$-\omega^2\left(-mx_{cg} + A_{53}\right) + i\omega B_{53} + C_{53} = \left(f_1 - f_2\right)L\big/\zeta_3 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \sum M = 0$$

Which reduce to

$$A_{33} = \frac{1}{-\omega^2}\left[\frac{mag(f_1 + f_2)}{mag(\zeta_3)}\cos\left(\arg(f_1 + f_2) - \arg(\zeta_3)\right) - C_{33}\right] - m$$

$$B_{33} = \frac{1}{\omega}\left[\frac{mag(f_1 + f_2)}{mag(\zeta_3)}\sin\left(\arg(f_1 + f_2) - \arg(\zeta_3)\right)\right]$$

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Added mass and damping, A_{33} and B_{33}

Added mass and damping coefficients in heave versus frequency $L/B = 4.0$; $\tau = 6$ deg. -▲-, $C_v = 1.5$; -◆-, $C_v = 2.0$; -●-, $C_v = 2.5$.

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Conclusions of forced motion experiments

Wetted length: The wetted length and equivalently the wetted surface of an oscillating planing hull is strongly time dependent. For low to moderate amplitudes of motion, the keel wetted length can be treated kinematically as the intersection between the keel and a stationary free surface. The chine wetted length is influenced by spray jet dynamics.

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Conclusions of forced motion experiments (con't.)

Restoring force matrix, C. The restoring force matrix is amplitude dependent. A good approximation for prismatic hulls can be found by applying the results of Savitsky [14] with the mean wetted, λ, and

effective trim expressed as functions of the heave and pitch motions.

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Conclusions of forced motion experiments (con't.)

*Added mass and damping matrices, **A** and **B***. For low to moderate planing speeds, C_V=1.5 - 2.5, the added mass coefficient, and to a lesser extent the damping coefficient, can be frequency dependent. When the experimental results were analyzed using a constant restoring force matrix, the added mass and damping in heave, A_{j3} and B_{j3}, j=3,5 showed relatively little amplitude dependency compared to the added mass and damping in pitch, A_{j5} and B_{j5}, j=3,5.

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

RATIONALE FOR DYNAMIC ANALYSIS:

Identification of design parameters that are critical to performance.

Example: "A Case Study of Dynamic Instability in a Planing Hull", Codega and Lewis. *Marine Tech.,* April, 1987

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

THE ROLE OF SIMULATION IN DESIGN

With regards to the new computer simulators... "If you choose the right parameters, your simulated experiment will normally work just like the corresponding real-world experiment. (However,) there are some edges to this simulated world, and if you step over the simulation breaks down badly..." (Swaine, 1992)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Analysis and Simulation of Nonlinear Planing Hull Dynamics

- **General description** of methods of modern nonlinear systems analysis

- Examples of analysis
  - Ref: Troesch and Falzarano (1993),
    - Troesch and Hicks (1994)
    - Hicks, Troesch and Jiang (1995)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Analysis and Simulation of Nonlinear Planing Hull Dynamics
Simple Model - Complex Dynamics

Ref: Savitsky (1964)
        Guckenheimer and Holmes (1983)
        Thompson and Stewart (1986)
        Seydel (1988)
        Troesch (1992)
        Troesch and Falzarano (1993)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Analysis and Simulation of Nonlinear Planing Hull Dynamics
Complex Model - Complex Dynamics

Ref: Zarnick (1978)
Guckenheimer and Holmes (1983)
Seydel (1988)
Akers et al. (1999, etc.)
Hicks et al. (1994, 1995)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

VERTICAL PLANE EQUATIONS OF MOTION

Linear (unforced) (Martin, 1978)

$$[\mathbf{A}]\{\ddot{\eta}(t)\} + [\mathbf{B}]\{\dot{\eta}(t)\} + [\mathbf{C}]\{\eta(t)\} = \{0\}$$

Equivalent nonlinear model (unforced) (Hicks et al, 1994)

$$[\mathbf{A}]\{\ddot{\eta}(t)\} + [\mathbf{B}]\{\dot{\eta}(t)\} + \lfloor \overline{\mathbf{C}}(\eta(t)) \rfloor \{\eta(t)\} = \{0\}$$

Equivalent nonlinear model (forced) (Hicks et al, 1994)

$$[\mathbf{A}]\{\ddot{\eta}(t)\} + [\mathbf{B}]\{\dot{\eta}(t)\} + \lfloor \overline{\mathbf{C}}(\eta(t)) \rfloor \{\eta(t)\} = \{F(t)\}$$

Fully nonlinear simulation (forced) (Zarnick, 1978, Akers, 1994)

$$[\mathbf{M}]\{\ddot{\eta}(t)\} = \{F(t)\}$$

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

SCHEMATIC OF HOPF BIFURCATIONS
(Unforced motion, i.e. porpoising)

(a) Single branch   (b) Multiple branches

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

(a)

(b)

(c)

SIMULATION:

TRANSITION TO PORPOISING

lcg/B
(a) 2.09
(b) 2.03
(c) 1.98

$C_v = 4.5$

(Troesch and Hicks, 1994)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

SIMULATION:

MULTI-STATE
PORPOISING

lcg/B
(a) 2.09
(b) 2.03
(c) 1.98

$C_v = 5.0$

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

EQUATIONS OF MOTION

Linear (unforced) (Martin, 1978)

$$[\mathbf{A}]\{\ddot{\eta}(t)\} + [\mathbf{B}]\{\dot{\eta}(t)\} + [\mathbf{C}]\{\eta(t)\} = \{0\}$$

Equivalent nonlinear model (unforced) (Hicks et al, 1994)

$$[\mathbf{A}]\{\ddot{\eta}(t)\} + [\mathbf{B}]\{\dot{\eta}(t)\} + \lfloor \overline{\mathbf{C}}(\eta(t)) \rfloor \{\eta(t)\} = \{0\}$$

Equivalent nonlinear model (forced) (Hicks et al, 1994)

$$[\mathbf{A}]\{\ddot{\eta}(t)\} + [\mathbf{B}]\{\dot{\eta}(t)\} + \lfloor \overline{\mathbf{C}}(\eta(t)) \rfloor \{\eta(t)\} = \{F(t)\}$$

Fully nonlinear simulation (forced) (Zarnick, 1978, and Akers, 1999, etc.)

$$[\mathbf{M}]\{\ddot{\eta}(t)\} = \{F(t)\}$$

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

SCHEMATIC OF POSSIBLE HEAVE RAO

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

SIMULATED FORCED RESPONSE

(Troesch and Hicks, 1994)

$$C_v = 4.5$$
$$\varsigma_o/B = 0.15$$
$$\lambda/L = 2.40$$
$$\omega_e\sqrt{B/g} = 2.29$$

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

**Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft**
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

OUTLINE

- Planing Hull Hydrodynamics and Dynamics

  Selected experiments and theories
  with practical applications

- Nonlinear Dynamics Analysis Applied to Planing Hulls

- **Stochastic Vibro-Impact Model for Extreme Planing Craft Acceleration Estimation**

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Full Scale MARK V Acceleration Time Histories

Day 1 Seat Frame Z-Axis (21:08:25 - 21:08:45)

Legend:
- Deck
- Seat 1 Frame
- Seat 2 Frame
- Seat 3 Frame
- Seat 4 Frame

MARK V deck & seat frame acceleration data collected January 10, 2003 by NSWC-PC.

Sea Trial conditions: 3.0 ft significant wave height, 8.3 second wave period

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Extreme Responses in Stochastic Design Environments
## Statistics of Impact

Simulated Mark V Acceleration at Bow and Bending Moment
(Sea State 2)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

# Stochastic Vibro-Impact Model for Extreme Planing Craft Acceleration Estimation

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Stochastic Vibro-Impact Model
Parametric Hardening Spring

$$\begin{cases} Y > 0 & \dfrac{1}{4\pi^2}\ddot{Y} + \dfrac{\zeta}{\pi}\dot{Y} + \boxed{Y\cos^{-\gamma}\left(Y\dfrac{\pi}{2}\right)} = \displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{N} F_\sigma \sqrt{\dfrac{2}{N}}\cos(2\pi\eta_j\tau + \alpha_j) \\ Y \leq 0 & \dfrac{1}{4\pi^2}\ddot{Y} + \dfrac{\zeta}{\pi}\dot{Y} + Y \end{cases}$$

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Ensemble of Band-limited Forcing

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Extreme Value Statistics Background

## Elevation PDF

Maxima PDF

Quantile-Quantile Plot (Weibull Space)

$$Q_x = \log_{10}(\xi)$$

$$Q_y = \log_{10}\left(-\log_{10}(1 - P(\xi))\right)$$

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Stochastic Vibro-Impact Model
ζ=0.5, η=0.5, γ=1.0, ε=0.5

ζ=0.5 (damping ratio), η=0.5(central forcing freq.), γ=1.0(wall stiffness)

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Stochastic Vibro-Impact Model for Extreme Planing Craft Acceleration Estimation - Application

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Stochastic Vibro-Impact Model for Extreme Planing Craft Acceleration Estimation

Quantile-Quantile Plot
(Weibull Space)

— 2 ft Wave Prediction
— 4 ft Wave Prediction
— 6 ft Wave Prediction
* Sea State 3 Experimental

Extreme Acceleration Estimates

$H_{1/3}$	11.5 g	50 g	20"	2'30"
2 ft	95'	600 yr	2.1 g	4.9 g
4 ft	19.3"	2'18"	11.8 g	52 g
6 ft	8.5"	23"	42 g	254 g

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Summary

- *Planing Hull Hydrodynamics and Dynamics*

    Selected experiments and theories with practical applications

- Complex coupled hydrodynamics and dynamics

- Appendages play large role in assessing performance

- Steady hydrodynamics relatively mature. Big challenge is resolving jet kinematics and geometry

- Linear theory can be of use in assessing stability boundaries

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Summary

- *Nonlinear Dynamics Analysis Applied to Planing Hulls*

- Problem is rich in interesting dynamics and hydrodynamics

- Reduced order nonlinear modeling required to understand global dynamics

- Need to understand underlying physics to support brute force Monte Carlo simulations

- Role that high fidelity simulators play in design and design optimization is not clear

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

Summary

- *Stochastic Vibro-Impact Model for Extreme Planing Craft Acceleration Estimation*

- Traditional stochastic analysis assumptions for planing dynamics may be invalid

- Extreme values of planing dynamics are the result of highly nonlinear, non-Gaussian processes

- Reduced order models have important place in understanding extreme values

Armin Troesch
University of Michigan
Department of Naval Architecture and Marine Engineering

Dynamics and Hydrodynamics of High Speed Craft
June 29, 2010
Barranquilla, Colombia

QUESTIONS ???